

Incorporation of $^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ -{Ile, Leu, Val} into a Perdeuterated, ^{15}N -Labeled Protein: Potential in Structure Determination of Large Proteins by NMR

William J. Metzler, Michael Wittekind, Valentina Goldfarb, Luciano Mueller, and Bennett T. Farmer II*

Pharmaceutical Research Institute
Bristol-Myers Squibb, P.O. Box 4000
Princeton, New Jersey 08543-4000

Received February 15, 1996

Proton–proton NOEs are the primary data for protein structure determination by NMR; it is therefore imperative that protons involved in these NOEs be accurately assigned. To this end, uniform $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ isotopic labeling has become the mainstay for solution-state structural studies of both small and large proteins.¹ For small proteins, such labeling often leads both to a faster² and to a more precise determination of structure.³ For proteins in the range of 100–230 residues, uniform $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ labeling is essential to NMR structural studies. With further increases in protein size, spectral dispersion yields to sensitivity as the limiting experimental factor. Substantial gains in sensitivity have been recently reported for many triple-resonance scalar correlation experiments on proteins deuterated at non-exchangeable proton sites.^{4,5} Although perdeuteration also increases sensitivity in amide-detected NOE experiments on large proteins,^{6–8} in solution-state structure determination, perdeuteration severely limits both the maximum number and the nature of possible proton–proton NOEs.

In NOE experiments, random fractional deuteration (~60%) of otherwise unlabeled proteins increases both general proton resolution and sensitivity.^{6,9} Similarly, random fractional deuteration limits spin diffusion by reducing the number of available magnetization-transfer pathways.^{6,9} This reduction permits a more efficacious use of longer NOE mixing times. With uniformly ^{13}C -labeled proteins, however, random fractional deuteration will lead to a distribution in the total deuterium isotope effect^{4,10} on each ^{13}C chemical shift. This distribution can severely broaden resonances along the ^{13}C dimension. In addition to the random fractional deuteration of unlabeled proteins, techniques have also been described for type-specific protonation within an otherwise deuterated protein.^{11–13} In

contrast to random fractional deuteration, type-specific protonation preferentially increases local rather than global proton density; type-specific protonation therefore retains more of the benefits of perdeuteration.

To circumvent the problems inherent in both the perdeuteration and the random fractional deuteration of uniformly $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled proteins, the original technique for type-specific protonation has been modified to provide the following: $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ labeling of the protonated specific residue types; uniform ^{15}N labeling; and more complete deuteration of non-exchangeable sites on all other residue types. Furthermore, the modified expression medium does not require all amino-acid residue types; only the $^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled specific residue types need be supplied. In this communication, we describe the methodology behind this novel approach to type-specific protonation; evaluate in a small protein the specificity and extent of protonation for one set of residue types ({Ile, Leu, Val} \equiv ILV); and demonstrate the potential benefits of such type-specific ILV protonation to the NMR structure determination of a large protein.

Previous work has shown that a coarse global fold can be determined for a perdeuterated large protein (HCA II) using solely $^1\text{H}_\text{N}$ – $^1\text{H}_\text{N}$ NOEs with a 6 Å cutoff distance.⁷ With this in mind, ILV residues have been chosen as the $^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled specific residues for the following three reasons: (1) favorable methyl-group T_2 relaxation even with ^{13}C labeling;^{14,15} (2) strong NOE interactions in the protein core; and (3) minimal scrambling in *E. coli* with other amino acids. ILV residues are predominantly found in hydrophobic pockets and cores, regions not well probed structurally by $^1\text{H}_\text{N}$ – $^1\text{H}_\text{N}$ NOEs. For this reason, structural information derived from $^1\text{H}_\text{N}$ – $^1\text{H}_\text{N}$ NOEs should be uniquely complemented by that derived from NOEs between ILV side chain protons.

The well-characterized murine Grb2 N-SH3/SOSE protein–peptide complex¹⁶ has been used to demonstrate the specificity of this labeling scheme. $^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ -ILV residues have been incorporated into the perdeuterated and uniformly ^{15}N -labeled N-terminal SH3 domain of mGrb2¹⁷ (^{15}N -SH3/SOSE). The labeling methodology consists of expressing the protein in minimal medium containing 95% D_2O , [^2H]-glucose, $^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{SO}_4$, and $^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ -{Ile, Leu, Val} amino acids. The exact composition of the expression medium is provided in the supporting information. Glucose, instead of acetate,¹⁸ has been used as the sole carbon source to minimize potential scrambling of the labeled ILV residues with other residue types. With [^1H]-glucose, aromatic rings retain significant levels of protonation;¹⁹ [^2H]-glucose is therefore required.

The 2D constant-time ^1H – ^{13}C HSQC on ^{15}N -SH3/SOSE (Figure 1) demonstrates the high selectivity in $^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}$ incorporation: no non-ILV residues are labeled. Furthermore, the spectrum contains no discernible deuterium isotope-shifted peaks associated with either methylene or methyl correlations. This indicates that most, if not all, of the ILV side chain groups are fully protonated. In line with previous observations,¹¹ only 15–20% fractional protonation of ILV C_α carbons is observed in a 1D ^{13}C -filtered proton spectrum (Figure 3 in supporting

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

(1) Wittekind, M.; Mapelli, C.; Farmer, B. T., II; Suen, K. L.; Goldfarb, V.; Tsao, J.; Lavoie, T.; Barbacid, M.; Meyers, C. A.; Mueller, L. *Biochemistry* **1994**, *33*, 13531–13539. Metzler, W. J.; Farmer, B. T., II; Constantine, K. L.; Friedrichs, M. S.; Lavoie, T.; Mueller, L. *Protein Sci.* **1995**, *4*, 450–459. Tanaka, T.; Ames, J. B.; Harvey, T. S.; Stryer, L.; Ikura, M. *Nature* **1995**, *376*, 444–447.

(2) Vis, H.; Boelens, R.; Mariani, M.; Stroop, R.; Vorgias, C. E.; Wilson, K. S.; Kaptein, R. *Biochemistry* **1994**, *33*, 14858–14870.

(3) Clore, G. M.; Gronenborn, A. M. *Science* **1991**, *252* (5011), 1390–9.

(4) Grzesiek, S.; Anglister, J.; Ren, H.; Bax, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 4369–4370.

(5) Yamazaki, T.; Lee, W.; Arrowsmith, C. H.; Muhandiram, D. R.; Kay, L. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 11655–11666. Venters, R. A.; Huang, C.-C.; Farmer, B. T., II; Trolard, R.; Spicer, L. D.; Fierke, C. A. *J. Biomol. NMR* **1995**, *5*, 339–344.

(6) LeMaster, D. M.; Richards, F. M. *Biochemistry* **1988**, *27*, 142–50. Torchia, D. A.; Sparks, S. W.; Bax, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 2320–2321.

(7) Venters, R. A.; Metzler, W. J.; Spicer, L. D.; Mueller, L.; Farmer, B. T., II *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 9592–9593.

(8) Grzesiek, S.; Wingfield, P.; Stahl, S.; Kaufman, J. D.; Bax, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 9594–9595.

(9) Torchia, D. A.; Sparks, S. W.; Bax, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 2320–2321.

(10) Hansen, P. E. *Prog. NMR Spectrosc.* **1988**, *20*, 207–255.

(11) Crepsi, H. L.; Rosenberg, R. M.; Katz, J. J. *Science* **1968**, *161*, 795–796.

(12) Markley, J. L.; Potter, I.; Jardetzky, O. *Science* **1968**, *161*, 1249–1251.

(13) Arrowsmith, C. H.; Pachter, R.; Altman, R. B.; Iyer, S. B.; Jardetzky, O. *Biochemistry* **1990**, *29*, 6332–6341.

(14) Kay, L. E.; Bull, T. E.; Nicholson, L. K.; Griesinger, C.; Schwarbe, H.; Bax, A.; Torchia, D. A. *J. Magn. Reson.* **1992**, *100*, 538–558.

(15) Bax, A.; Max, D.; Zax, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 6923–6925.

(16) Wittekind, M.; Mapelli, C.; Goldfarb, V.; Lee, V.; Meyers, C. A.; Mueller, L. Manuscript in preparation.

(17) Lowenstein, E. J.; Daly, R. J.; Batzer, A. G.; Li, W.; Margolis, B.; Lammers, R.; Ullrich, A.; Skolnick, E. Y.; Schlessinger, J. *Cell* **1992**, *70*, 431–442.

(18) Venters, R. A.; Calderone, T. L.; Spicer, L. D.; Fierke, C. A. *Biochemistry* **1991**, *30*, 4491–4494.

(19) LeMaster, D. M. *Prog. NMR Spectrosc.* **1994**, *25*, 371–419.

